

CLAUDIA CRUZ-AGUILAR,	)	
	)	Case No. 2:15-cv-01965-APG-CWH
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
	)	
WAL-MART SUPERCENTER,	)	
d/b/a Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Following review of the list, the Court finds that while portions of the list may be tangentially relevant, allowing the overbroad discovery plaintiff seeks would not be proportional to the needs of the case. Defendant has already provided an incident of another slip and fall in the same aisle and

<sup>1</sup> The Court also considered Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a sur-reply (doc. # 24) at the May 4th hearing. See Doc. # 34.

1 arising from liquid on the floor, which is relevant to the claim in this matter. Accordingly, the Court  
2 concludes that Plaintiff is not entitled to the incidence list at issue.

3 DATED: May 6, 2016

4  
5   
6 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**  
7 **United States Magistrate Judge**  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28